

Remarks

Support for new claims 10-15 can be found in the specification at, for example, page 6, line 8 to page 7, line 19, and page 17, lines 21-22. Reconsideration is requested in view of the preceding amendments and the following remarks. Claims 1-8 are pending. By this Amendment, new claims 9-15 are submitted for consideration. Upon entry of the Amendment, claims 1-15 are in the application.

Requested changes to FIG. 4B and FIG. 4C are submitted, and withdrawal of the objection to the drawings is requested.

Claim 7 is amended to correct an obvious typographical error. No new matter is introduced.

The allowability of claim 7 is acknowledged. New claim 9 corresponds to claim 7 rewritten in independent form to include all the features of its base claim and any intervening claims. Accordingly, new claim 9 is allowable.

The allowance of claim 8 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-6 stand rejected as allegedly anticipated by Chatterjee, U.S. Patent 6,282,421 ("Chatterjee"). This rejection is traversed. Claim 1 recites a method of activating a mobile station for communicating with a telecommunications network. In a first registration, the mobile station is provided with a permanent mobile station ID. In a second registration, the permanent mobile station ID is received from the mobile station. Programming data is then communicated to the mobile station. Chatterjee does not teach or suggest such a method. According to Chatterjee, a mobile station is programmed with a dummy MIN at the time of manufacture. The mobile station prepares a registration order message that includes the dummy MIN and transmits the registration order. In response, activation parameters are transmitted to the mobile station.

Col. 9, line 59 to col. 10, line 28. Chatterjee does not teach or suggest a first registration in which a permanent mobile station ID is provided to a mobile station and a second registration in which the permanent mobile station ID is received from the mobile station. Chatterjee merely discloses sending activation parameters to a mobile station in response to transmission of a dummy MIN by the mobile station. Thus, according to Chatterjee, activation is accomplished with a single registration order in response to transmission of a dummy MIN. Chatterjee does not teach or suggest receiving the permanent mobile station ID in a second registration message, and then communicating programming data to the mobile station. Accordingly, claim 1 and dependent claims 2-7 are properly allowable over Chatterjee.

New claim 10 recites a method of activating a mobile station in a wireless network. The method includes transmitting a permanent mobile station ID from the wireless network to the mobile station and then transmitting the permanent mobile station ID from the mobile station to the network. Programming data is communicated to the mobile station in response to the transmission of the permanent mobile station ID by the mobile station. Chatterjee does not teach or suggest such a method. According to Chatterjee, programming data is communicated to a mobile station in response to transmission of a dummy MIN. Chatterjee does not teach or suggest transmitting programming data to a mobile station in response to receipt of a permanent mobile station identifier from the mobile station. Therefore, claim 10 and dependent claims 11-15 are properly allowable over Chatterjee.

In view of the preceding amendments and remarks, claims 1-15 are in condition for allowance and action to such end is requested.

Respectfully submitted,


KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

By



Michael D. Jones
Registration No. 41,879


One World Trade Center, Suite 1600
121 S.W. Salmon Street
Portland, Oregon 97204
Telephone: (503) 226-7391
Facsimile: (503) 228-9446



5/7

FIG. 4B

FROM FIG. 4A




TO FIG. 4C

OIPF JC109
OCT 20 2003
TO 4 NEMABK

6/7

FIG. 4C

FROM FIG. 4B



approved
2/2
11/10/03